

Track and Field Renovation and Reuse





Capacity, Efficiency, Equity and Safety

- **Need for more space**
 - No room for expansion
 - **27% Capacity increase in the same footprint**
 - Parity among events
 - Student & Athlete safety issues
 - MIAA Requirements





Capacity, Efficiency, Equity and Safety



- Current conditions are unsafe

- Field dips, track is heaving and cracking in areas
- Compacted soil
- Limited field “run-off” for athletes
- Lighting is poor (for small ball play) and inefficient





Capacity, Efficiency, Equity and Safety

- Costs related to lighting, transportation and other expenses for current conditions make this effort cost effective for long term
 - Modern “sporting specific” lighting system
 - Larger events and tournaments can be held in the stadium
 - Revenue and rental opportunities
 - Lower maintenance costs, smaller environmental impact





School Committee Proposal





The Costs

- Borrowing \$2,210,000
 - With interest cost is approximately \$2,850,000
 - \$190 per student per year of borrowing
 - \$362 per household; \$24 annually
 - Each Town will contribute through assessment formula
 - Bolton - \$45,000
 - Lancaster - \$50,000
 - Stow - \$60,000
 - Timing is good for borrowing; retiring debt
 - No tax impact related to the project
 - Towns will decide if there is to be a debt exclusion



Conclusions

- Who will benefit from this project?
 - All students (PE classes and academic classes)
 - Community (community asset and can be used by the public)
 - Student Athletes (all outdoor sports)
 - Clubs and events (additional opportunities for all)
- Field Capacity Increase of 27%
- General Enhancement to Turf Quality
- Enhanced Student-Athlete Safety
- Reduced Maintenance Costs
- Enhanced Environmental Sensitivity

